THESIS DEFENCE EVALUATION

Palacký University Olomouc – Faculty of Physical Culture

Author of the thesis:	EUGEN OREC	
Γhesis title:	The impact of subjectively perceived socioeconom on lifestyle behaviour and physical activities among	ne sta
	on lifestyle behaviour and physical activities among	g youth
Chairperson of the Examination Committee:	doc. Mgr. Josef Mitáš, Ph.D.	
Thesis defence evaluation	:	Points
		0-4
1. Student's presentation	n of the thesis.	2
Evaluation criteria: qual thesis, fluency, factual controls	lity of the presentation, aptness and definition of the key points of the presentation, explaining the possible practical use of the	
2. Responses to question	ns from thesis reviews and discussion.	2
Evaluation criteria: und fluency, the ability to put	derstanding of the questions, factual correctness, self-action, response facts into context, speech promptness, terminological acuracy	
3. Thesis quality.		1
<u>Evaluation criteria:</u> com the thesis, contribution projects	pliance with the publication standard, formal and graphic appearance of to FTK, impact and possibility of practical use, participation in faculty	
4. Evaluation of the sug	gested thesis reviews	1
average calculated from	posed classification from thesis reviews, the classification depends on the both reviews, where final rating is done as follows: 2 points, $D-E_1$ point, F o points.	_/
A – average up to 1,25 include B – average higher than 1,25 o C – average higher than 1,75 o D – average higher than 2,25 E – average higher than 2,75 o	and lower or equal to 1,75 and lower or equal to 2,25 and lower or equal to 2,75	
n – uverage nighter than 25/30		
Total points:		<i>b</i>
Overall classification of the thesis defence	E	
Justification for awarding the grade "F" (Reason for increasing or decreasing classification by 3 grades compared to thesis opponent's proposal):		
Questions asked during the thesis defence:	According to your experience and the literature review, who specific about your research sample in relation to the f	at is

	affecting the sport and lifestyle countries? Please explain GINI Index	compared to Western "developed
Date: Signatures:	8 9. 2022 Chairperson of the Examination Comittee: Members of the Examination Comittee:	leste grand from the state of t
		The state of

Classification of the overall thesis defence evaluation

assification of th	e overall thesis defence evaluation	Evaluation note
POINTS	SUGGESTED CLASSIFICATION	• In case of zero rating in parts 1, 2 or 3, the
14 and more	A	overall classification is always "F".
12 to 13	В	Evaluation of part 4 must include at least
10 to 11	C	thesis rement (ODDONEILS OF
8 to 9	D	one thesis review (opposited states supervisor's), which recommends the "F")
6 to 7	E	for defence (the lowest grade can be "E").
5 and less	F	for defence (the total grant

Thesis defence evaluation in parts 1-3

CLASSIFICATION
Excellent, without objections
High quality, satisfactory
Average, satisfactory
Weak, still satisfactory
Unsatisfactory, poor quality