

**MASTER THESIS REVIEW****THESIS AUTHOR:** Bc. Nela Římanová**TOPIC:** Particulate matter in Ostrava's air: analysis and Foresight**BRANCH OF STUDY:** Foresight for Environment and Development**AUTHOR OF REVIEW:** Mgr. Martin Schlossarek, Ph.D.

<b>EVALUATION, PART 1: CONTENT OF THE THESIS</b>	
To what extent is the thesis structure logical and coherent? Did the author answer research questions? What has been achieved in terms of its objectives?	A
How complex and how well applied is the methodology of the thesis?	A
What is the quality of the content of the thesis? Are there any factual mistakes? Are the facts and described relations interpreted correctly? Did the author use appropriate terminology?	A
How well – in terms of the depth and quality – did the author analyse the topic?	A

**Verbal assessment:**

The thesis is divided into five chapters which form a logical structure. Aims and objectives of the thesis are clearly stated. In the introduction, there are two explicitly stated aims of the thesis divided into eight objectives in total. Both aims have been satisfactorily addressed in the paper. Combination of different qualitative and quantitative methods was used to collect and analyze data. Generally, the quality of the content of the thesis is very satisfactory.

<b>EVALUATION, PART 2: LITERATURE AND SOURCES CITED IN THE THESIS</b>	
To what extent does the author use suitable sources? Is the quantity of cited sources appropriate for a master thesis?	A
What is the quality of citations and references? Are the sources traceable?	A

**Verbal assessment:**

The number of sources and their quality is adequate. The author utilizes mainly primary sources. Referencing is consistent, sources are cited correctly.

<b>EVALUATION, PART 3: FORMAL REQUIREMENTS</b>	
What is the stylistic and grammar level of the thesis?	A
Is the format of the thesis in accordance with the usual standards of academic works? Does the graphic layout contribute positively to the quality of the thesis?	A

**Verbal assessment:**

The thesis is well written, although there are some typos. Graphic layout is rather average

<b>POINTS FOR DISCUSSION DURING THE THESIS DEFENCE</b>
In the thesis, author mentions „Air Quality Improvement Program“ and „Smog regulation“ as procedures aimed to improve the air quality in the region of Ostrava. During her presentation, can author pay special attention to the description of effectiveness of these tools?

OVERALL EVALUATION OF THE THESIS	
<b>Verbal assessment:</b> The author has shown that she has great knowledge of the topic and that she disposes of the ability to use methods of Foresight. I appreciate that she was very cooperative during the process of writing. In general, I have found the text as very solid. I do recommend the thesis for defense with grade A.	
<b>Proposed grade:</b>	A

**DATE:** 30. 6. 2019

**SIGNATURE:** \_\_\_\_\_